arXiv:1405.2527v1 [math.FA] 11 May 2014

COUNTABLE TIGHTNESS IN THE SPACES OF REGULAR PROBABILITY MEASURES

GRZEGORZ PLEBANEK AND DAMIAN SOBOTA

Abstract. We prove that if K is a compact space and the space $P(K\times K)$ of regular probability measures on $K\times K$ has countable tightness in its *weak** topology, then $L_1(\mu)$ is separable for every $\mu\in P(K)$. It has been known that such a result is a consequence of Martin's axiom MA(ω_1). Our theorem has several consequences; in particular, it generalizes a theorem due to Bourgain and Todorčević on measures on Rosenthal compacta.

1. Introduction

The *tightness* of a topological space X, mentioned in the title and denoted here by $\tau(X)$, is the least cardinal number such that for every $A\subseteq X$ and $x\in\overline{A}$ there is a set $A_0\subseteq A$ with $|A_0|\leq\tau(X)$ and such that $x\in\overline{A_0}$.

In the sequel, K always stands for a compact Hausdorff topological space. By $C(K)$ we denote the Banach space of continuous functions on K equipped with the supremum norm. As usual, the conjugate space $C(K)^*$ is identified with $M(K)$, the space of signed Radon measures on K of finite variation. We denote by $P(K)$ the space of probability Radon measures on K and consider $P(K)$ endowed with the *weak** topology inherited from $C(K)^*$.

In the present paper we focus on the following problem.

Problem 1.1. *Suppose that $P(K)$ has countable tightness. Does this imply that every $\mu\in P(K)$ has countable Maharam type (that is, $L_1(\mu)$ is separable)?*

There are several reasons why such a problem seems to be quite interesting and delicate. We now briefly outline some aspects of 1.1 and postpone a more detailed discussion to section 5.

Assuming Martin's axiom MA(ω_1), Fremlin [8] showed that if a compact space K admits a measure of uncountable type then K can be continuously mapped onto $[0,1]^{\omega_1}$, so in particular K must have uncountable tightness. Since $P(K)$ contains a subspace homeomorhic to K it follows that Problem 1.1 has a positive solution under MA(ω_1).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46E15, 46E27, 54C35.

G. Plebanek was partially supported by NCN grant (2014-2017).

D. Sobota was partially supported by Warsaw Center of Mathematics and Computer Science.

Talagrand [20] showed that if K admits a measure of type ω_2 then $P(K)$ can be continuously mapped onto $[0,1]^{\omega_2}$. Thus the following analogue of 1.1 holds true: if $\tau(P(K)) \leq \omega_1$ then every measure $\mu \in P(K)$ is of type $\leq \omega_1$.

Pol [18] investigated whether the following duality holds: $P(K)$ has countable tightness if and only if the Banach space $C(K)$ has property (C) of Corson. By the main result of [6] in order to verify such a duality it is sufficient to prove that Problem 1.1 has a positive solution.

If K is Rosenthal compact (i.e. K can be represented as a pointwise compact space of Baire-one functions on some Polish space), then every $\mu \in P(K)$ has countable type. This fact, announced in Bourgain [2], was proved by Todorčević [21] basing on properties of Rosenthal compacta in forcing extensions; see also Marciszewski and Plebanek [13]. Problem 1.1 would be a generalization of that result since for Rosenthal compact K, the space $P(K)$ is also Rosenthal compact and consequently has countable tightness.

We shall prove below that for every compact space K, if $P(K \times K)$ has countable tightness then K carries only measures of countable type. This does not solve Problem 1.1 completely, but seems to be a substantial step forward. After recalling basic definitions and facts in section 2, we prove in section 3 some auxiliary results on measures on product spaces. In section 4 we prove our main result — Theorem 4.1. In the final section we present some consequences of Theorem 4.1, and state some open problems related to our main topic.

2. Preliminaries

For a given space K, $Bor(K)$ stands for the σ-algebra of all Borel subsets of K. Every $\mu \in P(K)$ is treated as a Borel measure on K, which is inner regular with respect to compact sets. Recall that the *weak** topology on $P(K)$ is the weakest one making a function $\mu \mapsto \int_K g \, \mathrm{d}\mu$ continuous for every $g \in C(K)$.

Remark 2.1. Take an open set $U \subseteq K$ and a closed set $F \subseteq K$. Note that the set of the form $V_{U,a} = \{\nu \in P(K) : \nu(U) > a\}$ is *weak** open in $P(K)$ for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $M \subseteq P(K)$ and $\nu_0 \in \overline{M}$. It follows that

(a) $\nu_0(V) \leq a$ provided $\nu(V) \leq a$ for every $\nu \in M$;
(b) $\nu_0(F) \geq a$ provided $\nu(F) \geq a$ for every $\nu \in M$.

The *Maharam type* of a measure $\mu \in P(K)$ can be defined as the least cardinal number κ for which there exists a family $\mathcal{C} \subseteq Bor(K)$ of cardinality κ and such that the condition $\inf\{\mu(B \triangle C) : \ C \in \mathcal{C}\} = 0$ is satisfied for every $B \in Bor(K)$. Equivalently, μ has Maharam type κ if the space of all μ-integrable functions $L_1(\mu)$ has density κ as a Banach space. A measure $\mu \in P(K)$ is *homogeneous* if its type is the same on every set $B \in Bor(K)$ of positive measure.

The following fact is well-known, see e.g. Plebanek [16], Lemma 2, or Fremlin [8], Introduction.

Lemma 2.2. *If a compact space K carries a regular measure of uncountable type, then there is $\mu \in P(K)$ which is homogeneous of type ω_1.*

Let $\mu \in P(K)$ and denote its measure algebra by $Bor(K)/_{\mu=0}$. For $B \in Bor(K)$ let $B^\bullet$ stand for the corresponding element of $Bor(K)/_{\mu=0}$. We shall use the following standard result.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mu \in P(K)$ be a homogeneous measure of type ω_1 and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a countable family of Borel subsets of K. Then there is $B \in Bor(K)$ such that $\mu(B) = \frac{1}{2}$ and B is μ-independent of $\mathcal{C}$, i.e. $\mu(B \cap C) = \frac{1}{2}\mu(C)$ for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. By the Maharam Theorem (see Maharam [12] or Fremlin [7]) there is a measure-preserving isomorphism of measure algebras $\varphi : Bor(K)/_{\mu=0} \to \mathfrak{A}$, where $\mathfrak{A}$ is the measure algebra of the usual product measure λ on 2^{ω_1}. Let $\mathcal{C}^\bullet = \{C^\bullet : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

Recall that for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ there is a set $A \subseteq 2^{\omega_1}$ depending on coordinates in a countable set $I_A \subseteq \omega_1$ such that $A^\bullet = a$, see Fremlin [9], section 8. Therefore, there is a countable set $I \subseteq \omega_1$ such that for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$ there is $A \subseteq 2^{\omega_1}$ such that $A = A' \times 2^{\omega_1 \setminus I}$ for some $A' \in Bor(2^I)$ and $\varphi(C^\bullet) = A^\bullet$.

Take $\xi < \omega_1$ such that $\xi > \sup I$, and $B \in Bor(K)$ for which $B^\bullet = \varphi^{-1}(c_\xi^\bullet)$, where $c_\xi = \{x \in 2^{\omega_1} : x(\xi) = 0\}$. Then B has the required property. □

The following corollary can be easily obtained using Lemma 2.3 and regularity of μ.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $\mu \in P(K)$ be a homogeneous measure of type ω_1. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist sequences $\langle B_\xi \in Bor(K) : \xi < \omega_1\rangle$ and $\langle U_\xi \in Open(K) : \xi < \omega_1\rangle$ such that*

(i) $\mu(B_\xi) = \frac{1}{2}$,
(ii) $B_\xi \subseteq U_\xi$ *and* $\mu(U_\xi \setminus B_\xi) < \varepsilon$,
(iii) B_ξ *is μ-independent of the algebra generated by* $\mathcal{C}_\xi = \{B_\eta, U_\eta : \eta < \xi\}$.

3. Measures on $K \times K$

In this section we consider a fixed homogeneous measure $\mu \in P(K)$ of type ω_1. Given two algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, we write

$$\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B} = alg(\{A \times B : A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}\}),$$

for their product algebra; here $alg(\cdot)$ denotes the algebra of sets generated by a given family. Let $\mathcal{R}$ denote the Borel rectangle algebra in $K \times K$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{R} = Bor(K) \otimes Bor(K).$$

The following notation is crucial for our considerations: given an algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq Bor(K)$, we write $P(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ for the family of all finitely additive probability measures ν on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\nu(A \times K) = \nu(K \times A) = \mu(A) \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

By a result due to Marczewski and Ryll-Nardzewski [14] every $\nu \in P(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ is automatically countably additive and can be extended to a (regular) measure on the product σ-algebra $\sigma(Bor(K) \otimes Bor(K))$. In turn, such a measure can be extended to a regular measure on $Bor(K \times K)$. We outline below a relatively short argument for completeness (cf. Plebanek [15], Theorem 4).

Theorem 3.1. *Every $\nu \in P(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ can be extended to a regular Borel measure on $K \times K$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the family of finite unions of rectangles $F \times F'$ where $F, F' \subset K$ are closed. Using the fact that $\nu \in P(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$, it is easy to see that ν is $\mathcal{L}$-regular, i.e. for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $A \in \mathcal{R}$ there exists $L \in \mathcal{L}$ contained in A and such that $\nu(A \setminus L) < \varepsilon$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the lattice of all closed subsets of $K \times K$. By the main result from Bachman and Sultan [1], ν can be extended to an $\mathcal{F}$-regular finitely additive measure ν' on $alg(\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{F})$. By $\mathcal{F}$-regularity and compactness, ν' is continuous from above at $\emptyset$ and the standard Caratheodory extension procedure gives an extension to a regular measure on $\sigma(\mathcal{R}) = Bor(K \times K)$. □

For a subset $B \subseteq K$, we use below the following notation: $B^0 = B$ and $B^1 = B^c = K \setminus B$. We now prove two lemmas concerning extensions of measures on finite algebras with fixed marginal distributions.

Lemma 3.2. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite subalgebra of $Bor(K)$ then every $\nu \in P(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ can be extended to $\widehat{\nu} \in P(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$.*

Proof. Let us fix a finite algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq Bor(K)$ and $\nu \in P(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. Let $\mathcal{A}_1 = alg(\mathcal{A} \cup \{B\})$ where $B \in Bor(K) \setminus \mathcal{A}$. We shall check first that ν can be extended to $\nu_1 \in P(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_1, \mu)$.

Let $\{S_1, \dots, S_l\}$ be the family of all atoms of $\mathcal{A}$ having positive measure. It is sufficient to define ν_1 only on atoms of $\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_1$. For $i, j \leq l$ let

$$\alpha_{i,j} = \nu(S_i \times S_j)/(\mu(S_i)\mu(S_j)).$$

For $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{0, 1\}$ put

$$\nu_1((S_i \times S_j) \cap (B^{\varepsilon_1} \times B^{\varepsilon_2})) = \mu(S_i \cap B^{\varepsilon_1})\mu(S_j \cap B^{\varepsilon_2})\alpha_{i,j}.$$

It is easy to check that this uniquely defines the required $\nu_1 \in P(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_1, \mu)$ (cf. the proof of the next lemma).

It follows that ν admits an extension to $\nu_{\mathcal{D}} \in P(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}, \mu)$ for every finite algebra $\mathcal{D}$ such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq Bor(K)$. Now the assertion follows by compactness argument since the set $P(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ is closed. in the space $[0, 1]^{\mathcal{R}}$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite subalgebra of $Bor(K)$, $\mathcal{A}_1 = alg(\mathcal{A} \cup \{B\})$, where $B \in Bor(K)$ is μ-independent of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mu(B) = 1/2$.*

Then for every $\nu \in P(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ there exists an extension $\nu_1 \in P(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_1, \mu)$ of ν such that $\nu_1(B \times B) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. We extend ν to $\nu_1 \in P(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_1, \mu)$ in a similar way to the one presented in the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Let $T_1, \dots, T_k$ be the list of all the atoms of $\mathcal{A}$. For all $i, j \leq k$ and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{0,1\}$ put

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B^{\varepsilon_1} \times B^{\varepsilon_2})) = \tfrac{1}{2}\nu(T_i \times T_j)$$

if $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2$ and 0 otherwise. Then

$$\nu_1(B \times B) = \textstyle\sum_i \sum_j \nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B \times B)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \nu(T_i \times T_j) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

We now prove that $\nu_1 \in P(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_1, \mu)$. It is sufficient to check that $\nu_1(S \times K) = \nu_1(K \times S) = \mu(S)$ for every atom S of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_1$. We have

$$\nu_1((T_i \cap B) \times K) = \textstyle\sum_j \nu_1((T_i \cap B) \times T_j) =$$

$$= \textstyle\sum_j (\nu_1((T_i \cap B) \times (T_j \cap B)) + \nu_1((T_i \cap B) \times (T_j \cap B^c))) =$$

$$= \textstyle\sum_j \nu_1((T_i \cap B) \times (T_j \cap B)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \nu(T_i \times T_j) =$$

$$= \tfrac{1}{2}\nu(T_i \times K) = \tfrac{1}{2}\mu(T_i) = \mu(T_i \cap B),$$

where the last identity follows from the μ-independence of B and $\mathcal{A}$. Similarly one checks remaining possibilities. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mu \in P(K)$ be a homogeneous measure of type ω_1 and suppose that $\langle B_\xi \in Bor(K) : \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$, $\langle U_\xi \in Open(K) : \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{C}_\xi$ are as in Corollary 2.4.*

For every $\xi < \omega_1$ there is $\nu_\xi \in P(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ such that:

- $\nu_\xi(B_\eta \times B_\eta) = \frac{1}{2}$ *for* $\eta \geq \xi$,
- $\nu_\xi(A \times A) = (\mu \otimes \mu)(A \times A)$ *for every* $A \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$.

Proof. Fix $\xi < \omega_1$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite algebra generated by some elements of $\mathcal{C}_\xi$ and I be a finite subset of $\omega_1 \setminus \xi$. Then there is $\nu_{\mathcal{A},I} \in P(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ such that

— $\nu_\xi(B_\eta \times B_\eta) = \frac{1}{2}$ for $\eta \in I$,
— $\nu_\xi(A \times A) = (\mu \otimes \mu)(A \times A)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Indeed, such $\nu_{\mathcal{A},I}$ can be first defined on $alg(\mathcal{A} \cup \{B_\eta : \eta \in I\})$ using Lemma 3.3 and induction on $|I|$ and then extended to a member of $P(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ using Lemma 3.2.

Now the existence of ν_ξ with the required properties follows again by compactness argument: $P(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ is clearly a closed subset of $[0,1]^{\mathcal{R}}$, so it is compact in the topology of convergence on all elements of $\mathcal{R}$. Hence the required measure ν_ξ can be defined as a cluster point of the net $\nu_{\mathcal{A},I}$ indexed by the pairs $(\mathcal{A}, I)$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra generated by a finite subset of $\mathcal{C}_\xi$ and I is a finite subset of $\omega_1 \setminus \xi$. □

4. Main result

We are now ready to prove our main result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $P(K \times K)$ have countable tightness. Then every $\mu \in P(K)$ has countable type.*

Proof. Assume for the sake of contradiction that there exists $\mu \in P(K)$ of uncountable type. Without loss of generality we can assume that μ is a homogeneous measure of type ω_1, see Lemma 2.2. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1/16$.

Take the sequences $\langle B_\xi : \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$ and $\langle U_\xi : \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$ as in Corollary 2.4. For every $\xi < \omega_1$ take $\nu_\xi \in P(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ as in Lemma 3.4 and extend it to $\widehat{\nu_\xi} \in P(K \times K)$ using Theorem 3.1. Let $\nu \in P(K \times K)$ be a cluster point of the sequence $\langle \widehat{\nu_\xi} : \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$, i.e.

$$\nu \in \bigcap_{\xi < \omega_1} \overline{\{\widehat{\nu}_\eta : \eta \geq \xi\}}.$$

We shall show that $\nu \notin \overline{\{\widehat{\nu}_\eta : \eta \in I\}}$ for every countable $I \subseteq \omega_1$, which will contradict the assumption that the tightness of $P(K \times K)$ is countable.

Let $I \subseteq \omega_1$ be countable. Take $\xi > \sup I$. By regularity of μ there exists closed $F \subseteq K$ such that $F \subseteq B_\xi$ and $\mu(B_\xi \setminus F) < \varepsilon$. For every $\eta \in I$ we have $\widehat{\nu}_\eta(B_\xi \times B_\xi) = \frac{1}{2}$, so

$$\widehat{\nu}_\eta((B_\xi \times B_\xi) \setminus (F \times F)) \leq \widehat{\nu}_\eta((B_\xi \setminus F) \times K) + \widehat{\nu}_\eta(K \times (B_\xi \setminus F)) = 2\mu(B_\xi \setminus F) < 2\varepsilon.$$

Therefore $\widehat{\nu}_\eta(F \times F) > \frac{1}{2} - 2\varepsilon$ whenever $\eta \in I$.

On the other hand, if $\eta > \xi$ then

$$\nu_\eta(U_\xi \times U_\xi) = (\mu \otimes \mu)(U_\xi \times U_\xi) = \mu(U_\xi)^2 < (1/2 + \varepsilon)^2,$$

so by Remark 2.1(a) $\nu(F \times F) \leq \nu(U_\xi \times U_\xi) \leq (1/2 + \varepsilon)^2$.

As $\varepsilon < 1/16$, we have $(1/2 + \varepsilon)^2 < 1/2 - 2\varepsilon$. We conclude from Remark 2.1(b) that $\nu \notin \overline{\{\widehat{\nu}_\eta : \eta \in I\}}$ and the proof is complete.

□

Let us remark that modifying our way to Theorem 4.1 one can prove the following more general result.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that K and L are compacta carrying measures of uncountable type. Then $\tau(P(K \times L)) \geq \omega_1$.*

5. Some consequences and open problems

In this final section we present several consequences of Theorem 4.1 as well as some open problems.

It is not difficult to check that if every $\mu \in P(K)$ has countable type then every $\nu \in P(K \times K)$ has countable type as well. In connection with Problem 1.1 and Theorem 4.1 it is natural to ask the following.

Problem 5.1. *Suppose that $P(K)$ has countable tightness. Does $P(K \times K)$ have countable tightness?*

As far as we know, the problem is open. Note that $P(K) \times P(K)$ embeds into $P(K \times K)$ and if $\tau(P(K)) = \omega$ then $\tau(P(K) \times P(K)) = \omega$, since the countable tightness is productive for compact spaces (see Engelking [5], 3.12.8). However, the space $P(K \times K)$ seems to be far more complicated than $P(K) \times P(K)$.

5.1. **Rosenthal compacta.** Recall that a compact space K is said to be Rosenthal compact if K embeds into $B_1(X)$, the space of Baire-one functions on a Polish space X equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence. The class of Rosenthal compacta is stable under taking countable product and, by a result of Godefroy [10], if K is Rosenthal compact, then so is $P(K)$. Moreover, Rosenthal compacta are Fréchet-Urysohn spaces (see Bourgain, Fremlin and Talagrand [3]), hence they have countable tightness. This, together with Theorem 4.1, implies the result of Bourgain and Todorčević mentioned in the introductory section.

Corollary 5.2. *If K is Rosenthal compact, then every $\mu \in P(K)$ has countable type.*

5.2. **Property (C) of Corson.** Let X be a Banach space. Corson [4] introduced the following convex analogue of the Lindelöf property: X is said to have property (C) if for every family $\mathcal{C}$ of convex closed subsets of X we have $\bigcap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$ provided that every countable subfamily of $\mathcal{C}$ has nonempty intersection. For $C(K)$ spaces, Pol [18, Lemma 3.2] gave the following characterization of property (C).

Theorem 5.3 (Pol)**.** *For a compact space K the following are equivalent:*

(1) *the space $C(K)$ has property (C);*
(2) *for every family $\mathcal{M} \subseteq P(K)$ and every $\mu \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ there exists countable subfamily $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mu \in \overline{\operatorname{conv}}\,\mathcal{N}$.*

Let us say that $P(K)$ has *convex countable tightness* if $P(K)$ fulfils condition (2) of Theorem 5.3. Clearly countable tightness implies convex countable tightness, Pol [18] asked if those properties are actually equivalent, which amounts to asking the following.

Problem 5.4. *Assume $C(K)$ has property (C). Does this imply the countable tightness of $P(K)$?*

Frankiewicz, Plebanek and Ryll–Nardzewski ([6], Theorem 3.4) answered this question affirmatively assuming Martin's axiom $\mathrm{MA}(\omega_1)$. Without any additional set-theoretic assumptions they also obtained the following partial result ([6], Theorem 3.2):

Theorem 5.5. *Assume that every $\mu \in P(K)$ has countable type. Then $\tau(P(K)) = \omega$ if and only if $C(K)$ has property (C).*

Looking back at the proof of Theorem 4.1 it is easy to notice that we in fact got the following (formally) stronger result.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $P(K \times K)$ have convex countable tightness. Then every $\mu \in P(K)$ has countable type.*

Theorem 5.6 yields the positive solution to the following instance of Problem 5.4.

Corollary 5.7. *For any compact space K, $\tau(P(K \times K)) = \omega$ if and only if $C(K \times K)$ has property (C).*

Proof. Assume that $\tau(P(K \times K)) = \omega$. By Theorem 4.1, every $\mu \in P(K)$ has countable type, hence every $\mu \in P(K \times K)$ has countable type. By Theorem 5.5, $C(K \times K)$ has property (C).

For the converse assume that $C(K \times K)$ has property (C). By Theorem 5.3, $P(K \times K)$ has convex countable tightness, which by Theorem 5.6 implies that every $\mu \in P(K \times K)$ has countable type. Using Theorem 5.5 again we conclude that $\tau(P(K \times K)) = \omega$. □

In connection to Problem 5.1, one can ask the following question on the property (C).

Problem 5.8. *Let $C(K)$ have property (C). Does $C(K \times K)$ have also property (C)?*

Note that the converse holds true. Indeed, if X is a Banach space with property (C) and Y is its closed subspace, then Y also has property (C). Since $C(K)$ embeds isometrically into $C(K \times K)$ by the operator $C(K) \ni f \mapsto f \circ \pi \in C(K \times K)$, where $\pi : K \times K \to K$ is a projection, $C(K)$ is isometric to a closed subspace of $C(K \times K)$.

5.3. **Topological dichotomy for $P(K \times K)$.** The particular case of Theorem 2.2 of Krupski and Plebanek [11] states that given a compact space K, $P(K)$ contains either a $\mathbb{G}_\delta$ point (i.e. a point of countable character in $P(K)$) or a measure of uncountable type. Thus Theorem 4.1 immediately implies the following.

Corollary 5.9. *For every compact space K, either $P(K \times K)$ contains a $\mathbb{G}_\delta$ point or $P(K \times K)$ has uncountable tightness.*

Recall that a measure $\mu \in P(K)$ is countably deteremined (CD) if there is a countable family $\mathcal{F}$ of closed subsets of K such that $\mu(U) = \sup\{\mu(F) : F \subseteq U, F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ for every open $U \subseteq K$. Moreover, μ is strongly countably determined (SCD) if one can choose such a family $\mathcal{F}$ consisting of closed $\mathbb{G}_\delta$ sets; see [18] and [11] for basic properties of CD and SCD measures and further references. For every $\mu \in P(K)$ we have the following implications

$$\mu \text{ is SCD } \Rightarrow \mu \text{ is CD} \Rightarrow \mu \text{ has countable type.}$$

A measure $\mu \in P(K)$ is strongly countably determined if and only if μ is a $\mathbb{G}_\delta$ point of $P(K)$. Thus the statement 'every $\mu \in P(K)$ is strongly countably determined' is equivalent to saying that $P(K)$ is first-countable. In the light of our main result, the following problem seems to be natural.

Problem 5.10. *Suppose that $P(K)$ or $P(K^\omega)$ is a Fréchet-Urysohn space. Is every $\mu \in P(K)$ countably determined?*

It is not known whether every measure on a Rosenthal compactum is countably determined — see Marciszewski and Plebanek [13] for a partial positive solution.

References

[1] G. Bachman and A. Sultan, *On regular extensions of measures*, Pacific J. Math. 86 (1980), 379-610.

[2] J. Bourgain, *Thesis*, Brussels (1974).

[3] J. Bourgain, D.H. Fremlin, M. Talagrand, *Pointwise compact sets of measurable functions*, Amer. J. Math. 100 (1978), 845–886.

[4] H.H. Corson, *The weak topology of a Banach space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1961), 1-15.

[5] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, PWN Warsaw (1977).

[6] R. Frankiewicz, G. Plebanek, C. Ryll–Nardzewski, *Between Lindelöf property and countable tightness*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2001), 97–103.

[7] D.H. Fremlin, *Measure algebras*, in: *Handbook of Boolean algebras*, J.D. Monk (ed.), North–Holand 1989, Vol. III, Chap. 22.

[8] D.H. Fremlin, *On compact spaces carrying Radon measures of uncountable Maharam type*, Fund. Math. 154 (1997), 295–304.

[9] D.H. Fremlin, *Sets determined by few coordinates*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 50 (2002), 23–36.

[10] G. Godefroy, *Compacts de Rosenthal*, Pacific J. Math. 91 (1980), 293–306.

[11] M. Krupski, G. Plebanek, *A dichotomy for the convex spaces of probability measures*, Topol. Appl. 158 (2011), 2184–2190.

[12] D. Maharam, *On homogeneous measure algebras*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 28 (1942), 108–111.

[13] W. Marciszewski, G. Plebanek, *On measures on Rosenthal compacta*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 385 (2012), 185-193.

[14] E. Marczewski, Cz. Ryll-Nardzewski, *Remarks on the compactness and non-direct products of measures*, Fund. Math. 40 (1953), 165-170.

[15] G. Plebanek, *Measures on two-dimensional products*, Mathematica 36 (1989), 253–258.

[16] G. Plebanek, *On Radon measures on first-countable spaces*, Fund. Math. 148 (1995), 159–164.

[17] G. Plebanek, *On compact spaces carrying Radon measures of large Maharam type*, Acta Univ. Car. Math. Phys. 43 (2002), 87–99.

[18] R. Pol, *Note on the spaces of regular probability measures whose topology is determined by countable subsets*, Pacific J. Math. 100 (1982), 185–201.

[19] Z. Semadeni, *Banach spaces of continuous functions*, PWN Warsaw (1971).

[20] M. Talagrand, *Sur les espace de Banach contenant l^τ*, Israel J. Math. 40 (1981), 324–330.

[21] S. Todorčević, *Compact sets of the first Baire class*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1999), 1179–1212.

Instytut Matematyczny, Uniwersytet Wrocławski
E-mail address: grzes@math.uni.wroc.pl

Warsaw Center of Mathematics and Computer Science
Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, Wrocław University of Technology
E-mail address: damian.sobota@pwr.edu.pl